NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FILED

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

JUL 24 2008

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

JUAN ABARCA-SOTELO, a/k/a Juan Vargas-Sotelo,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 08-10006

D.C. No. CR-07-01074-NVW

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Arizona Neil V. Wake, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted July 14, 2008 **

Before: SCHROEDER, LEAVY and IKUTA, Circuit Judges.

This is a direct criminal appeal of appellant's sentence for violation of 8 U.S.C. § 1326(a), enhanced by 8 U.S.C. § 1326(b)(2).

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

We have reviewed the record and the opening brief and conclude that the questions raised in this appeal are so insubstantial as not to require further argument. *See United States v. Hooton*, 693 F.2d 857, 858 (9th Cir. 1982) (per curiam) (stating standard). The United States Supreme Court's decision in *Almendarez-Torres v. United States*, 523 U.S. 224, 247 (1998), remains binding on this court until the Court overrules it. *See United States v. Weiland*, 420 F.3d 1062, 1079 n.16 (9th Cir. 2005) (noting that this court remains bound by the Supreme Court's holding in *Almendarez-Torres* that the district court may enhance a sentence on the basis of prior convictions, even if the fact of those convictions was not found by a jury beyond a reasonable doubt).

Accordingly, the government's unopposed motion for summary affirmance of the district court's judgment is granted.

AFFIRMED.